

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/913,276	12/03/2001	Claude Bergerioux	MAD-C119	7102
7590 I 1/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Lorusso & Loud			LOPEZ, MICHELLE	
3137 Mount Vernon Avenue Alexandria, VA 22305			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Monandia, VI	1 22303		3721	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$A \wedge A$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
	09/913,276	BERGERIOUX ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michelle Lopez	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ate, cause the application to become a	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	September 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10,11 and 19-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest claim(s) 10,11,21,22 and 25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19,20,23,24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee	Application No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachmont(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/913,276 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 7, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 12-18 have been canceled.
- 3. New claims 22-25 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 19-20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 12, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification is not enabling for the step of transversely cutting the packaging material. Furthermore, there is no disclosure in the drawings of transverse cutting. Any detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/913,276

Art Unit: 3721

Therefore, it is not clear how the process step of "transversely cutting" would be performed by applicant's invention

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 10-11, 21, 22, and 25 are allowed.
- Claims 19-20 and 23-24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) 6. under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/913,276

Art Unit: 3721

Page 4

Responses to Arguments

7. Applicant remarks have been fully considered but are deemed non-persuasive. Applicant contends that "cutting" is a method step, not an apparatus feature susceptible to depiction in the drawings. Applicant also contends that "cutting" is specifically taught at page 19, lines 3-5 of the specification.

In response to applicant' arguments, Examiner asserts that the step of "transversely cutting" is not enabled neither described in the specification. Furthermore, there is no disclosure in the drawings of "transverse cutting".

Therefore, Examiner contends that it is not clear how the process step of "transversely cutting" would be performed by applicant's invention and that any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing.

Conclusion

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 703-305-8205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday: 8:00 am 6:00 pm.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,276

Art Unit: 3721

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ John Sipos Primary Examiner

Page 5